OPTIMIZATION OF TEST PROBLEM: "GRAIN_SILO_V1.FOR"

PROBLEM DEVELOPED BY S. N. GHANI:

INPUT PARAMETERS FOR OPTIMISATION SUBROUTINE EVOP		
REFLECTION COEFFICIENT	ALPHA =	0.1300000E+01
CONTRACTION COEFFICIENT	BETA =	0.5000000E+00
EXPANSION COEFFICIENT	GAMA =	0.2000000E+01
EXPLICIT CONSTRAINT RETENTION COEFFICIENT	DEL =	0.1000000E-11
ACCURACY PARAMETER FOR CONVERGENCE	PHI =	0.1000000E-09
PARAMETER FOR DETERMINING COLLAPSE OF A COMPLEX IN A SUBSPACE	PHICPX =	0.1000000E-10
GLOBAL LIMIT ON THE NUMBER OF CALLS TO FUNCTION SUBROUTINE	LIMIT =	6000
NUMBER OF COMPLEX RESTARTS	NRSTRT =	10
NUMBER OF CALLS TO FUNCTION SUBROUTINE AFTER WHICH CONVERGENCE TESTS A	ARE MADE KNT =	25
NUMBER OF CONSECUTIVE CONVERGENCE TEST_1	ICON =	5
NUMBER OF VARIABLES = NUMBER OF EXPLICIT CONSTRAINTS	N =	2
NUMBER OF IMPLICIT CONSTRAINTS	NIC =	1
NUMBER OF COMPLEX VERTICES	К =	4
UPPER BOUND OF EXPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE STARTING POINT	XMAX(1) =	0.1000000E+03
	XMAX(2) =	0.1000000E+03
LOWER BOUND OF EXPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE STARTING POINT	XMIN(1) =	0.0000000E+00
	XMIN(2) =	0.0000000E+00
COORDINATES OF THE STARTING POINT	XT(1) =	0.9900000E+02
	XT(2) =	0.9900000E+02
UPPER BOUND OF IMPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE STARTING POINT	XXMAX(1) =	0.1000000E+07
LOWER BOUND OF IMPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE STARTING POINT	XXMIN(1) =	0.25465500E+03
IMPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE STARTING POINT	XX(1) =	0.97029900E+06
FUNCTION VALUE AT THE STARTING POINT	FF(1) =	0.48390518E+07

INITIAL COMPLEX CONFIGURATION

VERTICE	NUMBER	FUNCTION VALUE		COORDIN	NATES
1		0.48390518E+07		1) = 2) =	0.99000000E+02 0.99000000E+02
2		0.81186404E+06	,	1) = 2) =	0.39020014E+02 0.32590434E+02
3		0.12595449E+07		,	0.44362485E+02 0.72861010E+02
4		0.10012551E+07	XT (XT (1) = 2) =	0.37903717E+02 0.71673185E+02

C	DUTPUT SUMMARY FROM SUBROUTINE EVOP		
	MINIMUM OF THE OBJECTIVE FUNCTION HAS BEEN LOCATED TO THE DESIRE	D DEGREE OF ACCURACY FOR	CONVERGENCE. IER = 8
	TOTAL NUMBER OF OBJECTIVE FUNCTION EVALUATION.		NFUNC = 58
	NUMBER OF TIMES THE SUBROUTINE FUNCTION IS CALLED DURING THE PRES	SENT CONVERGENCE TESTS.	KUT = 6
	NUMBER OF TIMES THE EXPLICIT CONSTRAINTS WERE EVALUATED		KKT = 188
	NUMBER OF TIMES THE IMPLICIT CONSTRAINTS WERE EVALUATED		M = 181
	COORDINATES OF THE MINIMUM	XT(1) =	0.48460369E+01
		XT(2) =	0.10843732E+02
	OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE AT THE MINIMUM	F =	0.52259184E+05
	IMPLICIT CONSTRAINT VALUES AT THE MINIMUM	XX(1) =	0.25465500E+03
	UPPER BOUNDS OF EXPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE MINIMUM	XMAX(1) =	0.1000000E+03
		XMAX(2) =	0.1000000E+03
	LOWER BOUNDS OF EXPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE MINIMIM	XMIN(1) =	0.0000000E+00
		XMIN(2) =	0.0000000E+00
	UPPER BOUNDS OF IMPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE MINIMUM	XXMAX(1) =	0.1000000E+07
	LOWER BOUNDS OF IMPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE MINIMUM	XXMIN(1) =	0.25465500E+03

FINAL COMPLEX CONFIGURATION.

VERTICE NUMBER	FUNCTION VALUE	COORDINATES
1	0.52259185E+05	XT(1) = 0.48460800E+01 XT(2) = 0.10843539E+02
2	0.52259184E+05	XT(1) = 0.48460369E+01 XT(2) = 0.10843732E+02
3	0.52259185E+05	

		. ,	0.48459985E+01 0.10843904E+02
4	0.52259185E+05		0.48460256E+01
		XT(2) =	0.10843783E+02

*********** RESTARTING "EVOP" TO CHECK THE MINIMUM

INITIAL COMPLEX CONFIGURATION

VERTICE NUMBER	FUNCTION VALUE	COORDINATES
1		XT(1) = 0.48460369E+01 XT(2) = 0.10843732E+02
2		XT(1) = 0.88905662E+02 XT(2) = 0.88375318E+02
3		XT(1) = 0.30100936E+02 XT(2) = 0.85227734E+02
4		XT(1) = 0.40457982E+02 XT(2) = 0.75698286E+02

OUTPUT SUMMARY FROM SUBROUTINE EVOP	
MINIMUM OF THE OBJECTIVE FUNCTION HAS BEEN LOCATED TO THE DESIRED DEGREE OF ACCURACY FO	R CONVERGENCE. IER = 8
TOTAL NUMBER OF OBJECTIVE FUNCTION EVALUATION.	NFUNC = 31
NUMBER OF TIMES THE SUBROUTINE FUNCTION IS CALLED DURING THE PRESENT CONVERGENCE TESTS.	KUT = 6
NUMBER OF TIMES THE EXPLICIT CONSTRAINTS WERE EVALUATED	KKT = 128
NUMBER OF TIMES THE IMPLICIT CONSTRAINTS WERE EVALUATED	M = 123
COORDINATES OF THE MINIMUM XT(1) =	0.48460369E+01
XT(2) =	0.10843732E+02
OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE AT THE MINIMUM F =	0.52259184E+05
IMPLICIT CONSTRAINT VALUES AT THE MINIMUM XX(1) =	0.25465500E+03
UPPER BOUNDS OF EXPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE MINIMUM XMAX(1) =	0.1000000E+03
XMAX(2) =	0.1000000E+03
LOWER BOUNDS OF EXPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE MINIMIM XMIN(1) =	0.0000000E+00
XMIN(2) =	0.0000000E+00
UPPER BOUNDS OF IMPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE MINIMUM XXMAX(1) =	0.1000000E+07
LOWER BOUNDS OF IMPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE MINIMUM XXMIN(1) =	0.25465500E+03

FINAL COMPLEX CONFIGURATION.

VERTICE NUMBER	FUNCTION VALUE	COORDINATES
1	0.52259184E+05	XT(1) = 0.48460369E+01 XT(2) = 0.10843732E+02
2	0.52259185E+05	XT(1) = 0.48460803E+01 XT(2) = 0.10843538E+02
3	0.52259185E+05	XT(1) = 0.48460125E+01 XT(2) = 0.10843841E+02
4	0.52259185E+05	XT(1) = 0.48460606E+01 XT(2) = 0.10843626E+02

************ RESTARTING "EVOP" TO CHECK THE MINIMUM

VERTICE NUMBER	FUNCTION VALUE	COORDINATES
1	0.52259184E+05	XT(1) = 0.48460369E+01 XT(2) = 0.10843732E+02
2	0.36561019E+07	XT(1) = 0.90067887E+02 XT(2) = 0.59122723E+02
3	0.98663945E+06	XT(1) = 0.44125369E+02 XT(2) = 0.32647717E+02
4	0.48158375E+07	XT(1) = 0.98757970E+02 XT(2) = 0.98718375E+02

OUTPUT SUMMARY FROM SUBROUTINE EVOP					
MINIMUM OF THE OBJECTIVE FUNCTION HAS BEEN LOCATED TO THE DESIRED DEGREE OF ACC	JRAC	Y FOR	CONVERGEN	JCE. IEF	2 = 8
TOTAL NUMBER OF OBJECTIVE FUNCTION EVALUATION.			NFUN	1C =	31
NUMBER OF TIMES THE SUBROUTINE FUNCTION IS CALLED DURING THE PRESENT CONVERGENC	E TE	STS.	KUT =	6	
NUMBER OF TIMES THE EXPLICIT CONSTRAINTS WERE EVALUATED			KKT =	132	
NUMBER OF TIMES THE IMPLICIT CONSTRAINTS WERE EVALUATED			M =	126	
COORDINATES OF THE MINIMUM XT(1)	=	0.48463	3454E+01	
XT (2)	=	0.10842	2352E+02	
OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE AT THE MINIMUM	F	=	0.52259	9184E+05	
IMPLICIT CONSTRAINT VALUES AT THE MINIMUM XX(1)	=	0.25465	5501E+03	
UPPER BOUNDS OF EXPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE MINIMUM XMAX(1)	=	0.10000	0000E+03	
XMAX (2)	=	0.10000	0000E+03	
LOWER BOUNDS OF EXPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE MINIMIM XMIN(1)	=	0.0000	000E+00	
XMIN(2)	=	0.0000	000E+00	
UPPER BOUNDS OF IMPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE MINIMUM XXMAX(1)	=	0.10000	0000E+07	
LOWER BOUNDS OF IMPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE MINIMUM XXMIN(1)	=	0.25465	5500E+03	

VERTICE NUMBER	FUNCTION VALUE	COORDINATES
1		XT(1) = 0.48460369E+01 XT(2) = 0.10843732E+02
2		XT(1) = 0.48463454E+01 XT(2) = 0.10842352E+02
3		XT(1) = 0.48464624E+01 XT(2) = 0.10841828E+02
4		XT(1) = 0.48461594E+01 XT(2) = 0.10843184E+02

************ RESTARTING "EVOP" TO CHECK THE MINIMUM

INITIAL COMPLEX CONFIGURATION

VERTICE	NUMBER	FUNCTION VALUE		COORDINATES	
1		0.52259184E+05	,	1) = 2) =	0.48463454E+01 0.10842352E+02
2		0.74194969E+05	,	1) = 2) =	0.34884948E+01 0.32465607E+02
3		0.22981169E+07	,	1) = 2) =	0.63397187E+02 0.88192660E+02
4		0.17837562E+07	,	1) = 2) =	0.58581269E+02 0.57753694E+02

IFOI SUMMARI FROM SUBROUTINE EVOP		
MINIMUM OF THE OBJECTIVE FUNCTION HAS BEEN LOCATED TO THE DESIRED DE	GREE OF ACCURACY FOR	CONVERGENCE. IER = 8
TOTAL NUMBER OF OBJECTIVE FUNCTION EVALUATION.		NFUNC = 31
NUMBER OF TIMES THE SUBROUTINE FUNCTION IS CALLED DURING THE PRESENT	CONVERGENCE TESTS.	KUT = 6
NUMBER OF TIMES THE EXPLICIT CONSTRAINTS WERE EVALUATED		KKT = 131
NUMBER OF TIMES THE IMPLICIT CONSTRAINTS WERE EVALUATED		M = 125
COORDINATES OF THE MINIMUM	XT(1) =	0.48463454E+01
	XT(2) =	0.10842352E+02
OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE AT THE MINIMUM	F =	0.52259184E+05
IMPLICIT CONSTRAINT VALUES AT THE MINIMUM	XX(1) =	0.25465501E+03
UPPER BOUNDS OF EXPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE MINIMUM	XMAX(1) =	0.1000000E+03
	XMAX(2) =	0.1000000E+03
LOWER BOUNDS OF EXPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE MINIMIM	XMIN(1) =	0.0000000E+00
	XMIN(2) =	0.0000000E+00
UPPER BOUNDS OF IMPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE MINIMUM	XXMAX(1) =	0.1000000E+07
LOWER BOUNDS OF IMPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE MINIMUM	XXMIN(1) =	0.25465500E+03

1	0.52259184E+05		
		XT(1) =	0.48463454E+01
		XT(2) =	0.10842352E+02
2	0.51651244E+06		
		XT(1) =	0.19290742E+02
		XT(2) =	0.95961219E+02
3	0.14689446E+06		
		XT(1) =	0.71095801E+01
		XT(2) =	0.51327268E+02
4	0.22733115E+07		
		XT(1) =	0.76160967E+02
		XT(2) =	0.13691035E+02

VERTICE NUMBER FUNCTION VALUE COORDINATES 1 0.52259184E+05

INITIAL COMPLEX CONFIGURATION

OUTPUT SUMMARY FROM SUBROUTINE EVOP

VERTICE NUMBER FUNCTION VALUE

************* RESTARTING "EVOP" TO CHECK THE MINIMUM

1	0.52259184E+05		0.48463454E+01 0.10842352E+02
2	0.52259185E+05		0.48462634E+01 0.10842718E+02
3	0.52259184E+05		0.48461489E+01 0.10843231E+02
4	0.52259185E+05	XT(1) = XT(2) =	0.48461523E+01 0.10843216E+02

FINAL COMPLEX CONFIGURATION.

OUTPUT SUMMARY FROM SUBROUTINE EVO	P		
MINIMUM OF THE OBJECTIVE FUNCT	ION HAS BEEN LOCATED TO THE DESI	RED DEGREE OF ACCURACY F	OR CONVERGENCE. IER = 8
TOTAL NUMBER OF OBJECTIVE FUNC	TION EVALUATION.		NFUNC = 31
NUMBER OF TIMES THE SUBROUTINE	FUNCTION IS CALLED DURING THE F	RESENT CONVERGENCE TESTS	. KUT = 6
NUMBER OF TIMES THE EXPLICIT C	ONSTRAINTS WERE EVALUATED		KKT = 125
NUMBER OF TIMES THE IMPLICIT C	ONSTRAINTS WERE EVALUATED		M = 120
COORDINATES OF THE MINIMUM		XT(1) =	0.48463454E+01
		XT(2) =	0.10842352E+02
OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE AT TH	IE MINIMUM	F =	0.52259184E+05
IMPLICIT CONSTRAINT VALUES AT	THE MINIMUM	XX(1) =	0.25465501E+03
UPPER BOUNDS OF EXPLICIT CONST	RAINTS AT THE MINIMUM	XMAX(1) =	0.1000000E+03
		XMAX(2) =	0.1000000E+03
LOWER BOUNDS OF EXPLICIT CONST	RAINTS AT THE MINIMIM	XMIN(1) =	0.0000000E+00
		XMIN(2) =	0.0000000E+00
UPPER BOUNDS OF IMPLICIT CONST	RAINTS AT THE MINIMUM	XXMAX(1) =	0.1000000E+07
LOWER BOUNDS OF IMPLICIT CONST	RAINTS AT THE MINIMUM	XXMIN(1) =	0.25465500E+03

COORDINATES

VERTICE	NUMBER	FUNCTION VAL	UE		COORDI	NATES
1		0.52259184E+	2		1) = 2) =	0.48463454E+01 0.10842352E+02
2		0.52259185E+	2		1) = 2) =	0.48467157E+01 0.10840695E+02
3		0.52259184E+	2		1) = 2) =	0.48464733E+01 0.10841779E+02
4		0.52259184E+	2	•	1) = 2) =	0.48464381E+01 0.10841937E+02

************ RESTARTING "EVOP" TO CHECK THE MINIMUM

INITIAL COMPLEX CONFIGURATION

VERTICE NUMBER	FUNCTION VALUE	COORDINATES
1	0.52259184E+05	XT(1) = 0.48463454E+01 XT(2) = 0.10842352E+02
2	0.41029057E+07	XT(1) = 0.96697491E+02 XT(2) = 0.56965649E+02
3	0.20539509E+07	XT(1) = 0.71516448E+02 XT(2) = 0.16407861E+02
4	0.17891791E+07	XT(1) = 0.54799145E+02 XT(2) = 0.81124115E+02

OUTPUT	SUMMARY	FROM	SUBROUTINE	EVOP	

0.1.F	UT SUMMARY FROM SUBROUTINE EVOP		
	MINIMUM OF THE OBJECTIVE FUNCTION HAS BEEN LOCATED TO THE DESIRED DEGR	REE OF ACCURACY FOR	CONVERGENCE. IER = 8
	TOTAL NUMBER OF OBJECTIVE FUNCTION EVALUATION.		NFUNC = 31
	NUMBER OF TIMES THE SUBROUTINE FUNCTION IS CALLED DURING THE PRESENT (CONVERGENCE TESTS.	KUT = 6
	NUMBER OF TIMES THE EXPLICIT CONSTRAINTS WERE EVALUATED		KKT = 129
	NUMBER OF TIMES THE IMPLICIT CONSTRAINTS WERE EVALUATED		M = 122
	COORDINATES OF THE MINIMUM	XT(1) =	0.48463517E+01
		XT(2) =	0.10842324E+02
	OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE AT THE MINIMUM	F =	0.52259184E+05
	IMPLICIT CONSTRAINT VALUES AT THE MINIMUM	XX(1) =	0.25465500E+03
	UPPER BOUNDS OF EXPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE MINIMUM	XMAX(1) =	0.1000000E+03
		XMAX(2) =	0.1000000E+03
	LOWER BOUNDS OF EXPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE MINIMIM	XMIN(1) =	0.0000000E+00
		XMIN(2) =	0.0000000E+00
	UPPER BOUNDS OF IMPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE MINIMUM	XXMAX(1) =	0.1000000E+07
	LOWER BOUNDS OF IMPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE MINIMUM	XXMIN(1) =	0.25465500E+03

FINAL COMPLEX CONFIGURATION.

VERTICE NUMB	ER FUNCTION VALUE	COORDINATES
1	0.52259184E+05	XT(1) = 0.48463454E+01 XT(2) = 0.10842352E+02
2	0.52259184E+05	XT(1) = 0.48463517E+01 XT(2) = 0.10842324E+02
3	0.52259184E+05	

		. ,	0.48462884E+01 0.10842606E+02
4	0.52259184E+05	()	0.48464859E+01 0.10841723E+02

*********** RESTARTING "EVOP" TO CHECK THE MINIMUM

INITIAL COMPLEX CONFIGURATION

VERTICE NUMBER	FUNCTION VALUE	COORDINATES
1		r(1) = 0.48463517E+01 r(2) = 0.10842324E+02
2		r(1) = 0.93552405E+02 r(2) = 0.31197381E+02
3		r(1) = 0.45212629E+02 r(2) = 0.90499336E+02
4		T(1) = 0.36082363E+02 T(2) = 0.20001413E+01

OUTPUT SUMMARY FROM SUBROUTINE EVOP				
MINIMUM OF THE OBJECTIVE FUNCTION HAS BEEN LOCATED TO THE DESIRED DE	GREE OF ACCU	RACY FO	R CONVERGENCE. IER	= 8
TOTAL NUMBER OF OBJECTIVE FUNCTION EVALUATION.			NFUNC =	31
NUMBER OF TIMES THE SUBROUTINE FUNCTION IS CALLED DURING THE PRESENT	CONVERGENCE	TESTS.	KUT = 6	
NUMBER OF TIMES THE EXPLICIT CONSTRAINTS WERE EVALUATED			KKT = 132	
NUMBER OF TIMES THE IMPLICIT CONSTRAINTS WERE EVALUATED			M = 125	
COORDINATES OF THE MINIMUM	XT (1) =	0.48463517E+01	
	XT (2) =	0.10842324E+02	
OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE AT THE MINIMUM		F =	0.52259184E+05	
IMPLICIT CONSTRAINT VALUES AT THE MINIMUM	XX (1) =	0.25465500E+03	
UPPER BOUNDS OF EXPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE MINIMUM	XMAX (1) =	0.1000000E+03	
	XMAX (2) =	0.1000000E+03	
LOWER BOUNDS OF EXPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE MINIMIM	XMIN(1) =	0.0000000E+00	
	XMIN(2) =	0.0000000E+00	
UPPER BOUNDS OF IMPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE MINIMUM	XXMAX (1) =	0.1000000E+07	
LOWER BOUNDS OF IMPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE MINIMUM	XXMIN(1) =	0.25465500E+03	

FINAL COMPLEX CONFIGURATION.

VERTICE	NUMBER	FUNCTION VALUE		COORDIN	IATES
1		0.52259184E+05		1) = 2) =	0.48463517E+01 0.10842324E+02
2		0.52259185E+05		1) = 2) =	0.48463754E+01 0.10842217E+02
3		0.52259185E+05		1) = 2) =	0.48462923E+01 0.10842589E+02
4		0.52259185E+05	XT (XT (1) = 2) =	0.48461648E+01 0.10843160E+02

************ RESTARTING "EVOP" TO CHECK THE MINIMUM

INITIAL COMPLEX CONFIGURATION

VERTICE NUMBER	FUNCTION VALUE	COORDINATES
1	0.52259184E+05	XT(1) = 0.48463517E+01 XT(2) = 0.10842324E+02
2	0.28226359E+07	XT(1) = 0.87259579E+02 XT(2) = 0.55562031E+01
3	0.11681794E+07	XT(1) = 0.48001006E+02 XT(2) = 0.38000214E+02
4	0.37505478E+07	XT(1) = 0.95992219E+02 XT(2) = 0.33951384E+02

OUTPUT SUMMARY FROM SUBROUTINE EVOP					
MINIMUM OF THE OBJECTIVE FUNCTION HAS BEEN LOCATED TO THE DESIRED DEGREE OF	ACCUF	RACY FO	R CONVERGENCE	. IER = 8	
TOTAL NUMBER OF OBJECTIVE FUNCTION EVALUATION.			NFUNC :	= 31	
NUMBER OF TIMES THE SUBROUTINE FUNCTION IS CALLED DURING THE PRESENT CONVER	GENCE	TESTS.	KUT =	6	
NUMBER OF TIMES THE EXPLICIT CONSTRAINTS WERE EVALUATED			KKT = 11	24	
NUMBER OF TIMES THE IMPLICIT CONSTRAINTS WERE EVALUATED			M = 11	18	
COORDINATES OF THE MINIMUM	XT(1	L) =	0.4846351	/E+01	
	XT(2	2) =	0.10842324	1E+02	
OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE AT THE MINIMUM		F =	0.52259184	1E+05	
IMPLICIT CONSTRAINT VALUES AT THE MINIMUM	XX(1	L) =	0.25465500)E+03	
UPPER BOUNDS OF EXPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE MINIMUM	MAX(1	L) =	0.1000000)E+03	
х	MAX (2	2) =	0.1000000)E+03	
LOWER BOUNDS OF EXPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE MINIMIM	MIN(1	L) =	0.0000000)E+00	
х	MIN(2	2) =	0.0000000)E+00	
UPPER BOUNDS OF IMPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE MINIMUM XX	MAX(1	L) =	0.1000000)E+07	
LOWER BOUNDS OF IMPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE MINIMUM XX	MIN(1	L) =	0.25465500)E+03	

VERTICE NUMBER	FUNCTION VALUE	COORDINATES
1	0.52259184E+05	XT(1) = 0.48463517E+01 XT(2) = 0.10842324E+02
2	0.52259185E+05	XT(1) = 0.48469610E+01 XT(2) = 0.10839598E+02
3	0.52259185E+05	XT(1) = 0.48466015E+01 XT(2) = 0.10841206E+02
4	0.52259186E+05	XT(1) = 0.48468723E+01 XT(2) = 0.10839994E+02

************ RESTARTING "EVOP" TO CHECK THE MINIMUM

INITIAL COMPLEX CONFIGURATION

VERTICE	NUMBER	FUNCTION VALUE		COORDI	NATES
1		0.52259184E+05		1) = 2) =	0.48463517E+01 0.10842324E+02
2		0.83978402E+06	,	1) = 2) =	0.39778349E+02 0.33107626E+02
3		0.94649005E+06	,	1) = 2) =	0.40640625E+02 0.45875120E+02
4		0.16550309E+06	,	1) = 2) =	0.94851010E+01 0.44034526E+02

ΓTP	PUT SUMMARY FROM SUBROUTINE EVOP		
	MINIMUM OF THE OBJECTIVE FUNCTION HAS BEEN LOCATED TO THE DESIRED DEGR	REE OF ACCURACY FOF	R CONVERGENCE. IER = 8
	TOTAL NUMBER OF OBJECTIVE FUNCTION EVALUATION.		NFUNC = 31
	NUMBER OF TIMES THE SUBROUTINE FUNCTION IS CALLED DURING THE PRESENT (CONVERGENCE TESTS.	KUT = 6
	NUMBER OF TIMES THE EXPLICIT CONSTRAINTS WERE EVALUATED		KKT = 133
	NUMBER OF TIMES THE IMPLICIT CONSTRAINTS WERE EVALUATED		M = 127
	COORDINATES OF THE MINIMUM	XT(1) =	0.48463517E+01
		XT(2) =	0.10842324E+02
	OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE AT THE MINIMUM	F =	0.52259184E+05
	IMPLICIT CONSTRAINT VALUES AT THE MINIMUM	XX(1) =	0.25465500E+03
	UPPER BOUNDS OF EXPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE MINIMUM	XMAX(1) =	0.1000000E+03
		XMAX(2) =	0.1000000E+03
	LOWER BOUNDS OF EXPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE MINIMIM	XMIN(1) =	0.0000000E+00
		XMIN(2) =	0.0000000E+00
	UPPER BOUNDS OF IMPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE MINIMUM	XXMAX(1) =	0.1000000E+07
	LOWER BOUNDS OF IMPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE MINIMUM	XXMIN(1) =	0.25465500E+03

VERTICE NUMBER	FUNCTION VALUE	COORDINATES
1	0.52259184E+05	XT(1) = 0.48463517E+01 XT(2) = 0.10842324E+02
2	0.31096868E+07	XT(1) = 0.78841251E+02 XT(2) = 0.76736766E+02
3	0.11082530E+07	XT(1) = 0.50849336E+02 XT(2) = 0.14465114E+02
4	0.57980480E+06	XT(1) = 0.29146686E+02 XT(2) = 0.44694087E+02

OUTPUT SUMMARY FROM SUBROUTINE EVOP

************ RESTARTING "EVOP" TO CHECK THE MINIMUM

VERTICE NUMBER FUNCTION VALUE

1	0.52259184E+05	. ,	0.48463517E+01 0.10842324E+02
2	0.52259185E+05		0.48461886E+01 0.10843053E+02
3	0.52259185E+05	. ,	0.48462785E+01 0.10842651E+02
4	0.52259185E+05	. ,	0.48463060E+01 0.10842528E+02

FINAL COMPLEX CONFIGURATION.

OUTPUT SUMMARY FROM SUBROUTINE EVOP	
MINIMUM OF THE OBJECTIVE FUNCTION HAS BEEN LOCATED TO THE DESIRED DEGREE OF ACCURACY FOR CONVERGE	ENCE. IER = 8
TOTAL NUMBER OF OBJECTIVE FUNCTION EVALUATION. NFU	JNC = 31
NUMBER OF TIMES THE SUBROUTINE FUNCTION IS CALLED DURING THE PRESENT CONVERGENCE TESTS. KUT =	6
NUMBER OF TIMES THE EXPLICIT CONSTRAINTS WERE EVALUATED KKT =	127
NUMBER OF TIMES THE IMPLICIT CONSTRAINTS WERE EVALUATED M =	122
COORDINATES OF THE MINIMUM XT(1) = 0.4846	3517E+01
XT(2) = 0.1084	2324E+02
OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE AT THE MINIMUM F = 0.5225	9184E+05
IMPLICIT CONSTRAINT VALUES AT THE MINIMUM $XX(1) = 0.2546$	5500E+03
UPPER BOUNDS OF EXPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE MINIMUM XMAX(1) = 0.1000	0000E+03
XMAX(2) = 0.1000	0000E+03
LOWER BOUNDS OF EXPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE MINIMIM XMIN(1) = 0.0000	0000E+00
XMIN(2) = 0.0000	0000E+00
UPPER BOUNDS OF IMPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE MINIMUM XXMAX(1) = 0.1000	0000E+07
LOWER BOUNDS OF IMPLICIT CONSTRAINTS AT THE MINIMUM XXMIN(1) = 0.2546	5500E+03

COORDINATES

VERTICE NUMBER	FUNCTION VALUE	COORDINATES
1	0.52259184E+05	XT(1) = 0.48463517E+01 XT(2) = 0.10842324E+02
2	0.52259184E+05	XT(1) = 0.48466490E+01 XT(2) = 0.10840993E+02
3	0.52259185E+05	XT(1) = 0.48464465E+01 XT(2) = 0.10841899E+02
4	0.52259184E+05	XT(1) = 0.48464310E+01 XT(2) = 0.10841969E+02